

How Al is being used in publishing

Presentation to Society of Indexers, 18 September 2024 George Walkley, Outside Context Ltd

Introduction

- Independent consultant, board director, doctoral researcher
- —27+ years experience in the book business, across bookselling, publishing, consulting
- Digital transformation experience at Hachette
- Worked with IPG to develop first independently accredited, publishing specific training on Generative AI and publishing
- 240+ publishers in 10+ countries: Big 5, independent trade publishers, university presses, specialists
- Aggregate perspective on how AI is, and could be, used

Publisher Overview

- Almost every publisher experimenting with Alto some degree (many licensing)
- —Increasing integration with publishing application stack
- —Competitive advantage
- —Stakeholder concerns
- —Guardrails around tools, copyright content
- Focus on process, marketing, ancillary content rather than core content (copyright questions)
- —Output quality
- —Immediate ROI for many publishers, especially smaller teams

Publishing Use Cases

- —Ideation/longlisting ideas
- —Summaries/many-to-few
- Metadata creation
- Content repurposing/reformatting
- —Content creation (ancillary materials)
- Content translation (within limits)
- —Audio and video
- —Automation of tasks (VBA, Python, JS)
- —Stock imagery (Firefly)
- —Custom GPTs

In general, non-specialist tasks

Pace of Change and Capability Overhang

- Fierce competition between AI companies
- —Significant improvements in models with human-level performance across range of benchmarks (Stanford Institute for Human-Centered AI)
- ChatGPT o1-Preview: enhanced reasoning capabilities
- Absent any further development, 5-10 years to understand systems we have today (Ethan Mollick)
- Learning more from experimentation than documentation
- Balancing waiting for certainty versus near term benefits

Accuracy and Provenance

- Probabilistic nature of models and nonexhaustive training data mean hallucination is inevitable, even with mitigations such as RAG
- Al does not have intrinsic knowledge, judgement, nuance or consistency
- Bias to high resource languages in training data sets
- Types of error: large and obvious versus more subtle (Ethan Mollick)
- Identifying Al-generated content easier in other media formats (audio, images, video) compared to text
- —Standards for disclosure

Copyright and Fair Use

- No doubt that copyrighted material has been used extensively in training models, without explicit authorization
- Fair Use/economic benefit argued by Al companies
- —Litigation from authors and publishers
- Significant increase in licensing activity in 2024, but stakeholder concerns (Taylor & Francis, Wiley)
- Legal position is unlikely to be fully clear for some time, and there are short term commercial pressures to make the most of Al
- Fair Use finding is not impossible

Resourcing and Tasks

- Impact of AI on economy: most people pessimistic about personal prospects (Pew Research Center)
- Particular concern from creative freelancers (editors, translators, illustrators)
- Large corporations also pessimistic about Al investment: "too much spend, too little benefit" (Goldman Sachs GMR)
- —Thus far AI in publishing not delivering on wholesale disruption, but incremental gains in productivity
- —Time to revisit the Aggregation of Margin Gains

+ 0

Conclusions

- Al is hard to avoid and there is a measurable ROI from getting it right
- 2. Al capability will improve significantly over time, but increasing training costs favour general versus specific models
- 3. For most people, impact will be in terms of productivity and communications rather than specialist tasks
- 4. Human and AI, rather than human versus AI
- 5. Assume what has gone before has already been trained on
- 6. Clarity about how work will be used in future

+

+

Thank you

- hello@outsidecontext.co.uk
- **a** georgewlkly
- in /georgewalkley

+

O